

Further simplification of the light deflection formula for solar system objects

Sven Zschocke, Sergei A. Klioner

*Lohrmann Observatory, Dresden Technical University,
Mommsenstr. 13, 01062 Dresden, Germany*

GAIA-CA-TN-LO-SZ-005-1

July 30, 2010

The transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} in post-post-Newtonian order is simplified. All post-post-Newtonian terms of the order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^2}{d^2}\right)$ are neglected and we show that the total sum of these terms is smaller than $\frac{15}{4}\pi\frac{m^2}{d^2}$. This simpler transformation will improve the efficiency of Gaia data reduction.

I. INTRODUCTION

The approximative analytical solution of the problem of light deflection has been presented in [1–3]. One of the main result of these investigations is the transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} for solar system objects in post-post-Newtonian approximation. A detailed analysis [3, 4] has shown that most of the terms in this transformation can be neglected at the micro-arcsecond level of accuracy, leading a simplified formula \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} for the data reduction. This simplified formula \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} has been given in Eqs. (92) and (93) in [1] and in Eqs. (52) and (53) in [3]. In this report we will show that this transformation can be further simplified. The report is organized as follows. In Section II we will present the transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} in post-post-Newtonian order. The estimate of post-post-Newtonian terms and the new simplified transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} is given in Section III. A new estimation will be given in Section IV. A summary is given in Section V. Detailed proofs of the estimates used are given in the appendices.

II. TRANSFORMATION \mathbf{n} TO \mathbf{k} IN POST-POST-NEWTONIAN ORDER

The transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} in post-post-Newtonian order has been given in Eq. (87) in [1], Eq. (57) in [2], and in Eq. (45) in [3]. We will present this transformation in the following equivalent form:

$$\begin{array}{c|l}
 \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{k} \\
 \hline
 \text{N} & \\
 \hline
 \text{pN} & - (1 + \gamma) m \frac{\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1)}{x_1 (x_1 \cdot x_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_0)} \\
 \hline
 \Delta\text{pN} & + (1 + \gamma)^2 m^2 \frac{\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1)}{(x_1 \cdot x_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_0)^2} \frac{R}{x_1} \\
 \hline
 \text{scaling} & - \frac{1}{8} (1 + \gamma)^2 \frac{m^2}{x_1^2} \mathbf{k} \frac{((x_1 - x_0)^2 - R^2)^2}{|\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_0|^2} \\
 \hline
 \text{ppN} & + m^2 \mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1) \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma)^2 \frac{R^2 - (x_1 - x_0)^2}{x_1^2 |\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_0|^2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \alpha \epsilon \frac{1}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R x_0^2} - \frac{1}{R x_1^2} - 2 \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1}{x_1^4} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} (8(1 + \gamma - \alpha\gamma)(1 + \gamma) - 4\alpha\beta + 3\alpha\epsilon) R \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1}{x_1^2 |\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_0|^2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} (8(1 + \gamma - \alpha\gamma)(1 + \gamma) - 4\alpha\beta + 3\alpha\epsilon) \frac{x_1^2 - x_0^2 - R^2}{|\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_0|^3} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_0) \right] \\
 \hline
 \text{ppN} & + (1 + \gamma)^2 m^2 \frac{\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1)}{(x_1 \cdot x_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_0)^2} \frac{x_1 + x_0 - R}{x_1} \\
 & \quad + \mathcal{O}(c^{-6}). \tag{1}
 \end{array}$$

Here we have classified the nature of the individual terms by labels N (Newtonian), pN (post-Newtonian), ppN (post-post-Newtonian) and ΔpN (terms that are formally of post-

post-Newtonian order, but may numerically become significantly larger than other post-post-Newtonian terms, see estimates in (6)).

III. SIMPLIFIED TRANSFORMATION \mathbf{n} TO \mathbf{k}

The effect of all the “ppN” terms in (1) can be estimated as (cf. Eq. (91) in [1] or Eq. (50) in [3])

$$|\boldsymbol{\omega}'_{\text{ppN}}| \leq \frac{15}{4} \pi \frac{m^2}{d^2}. \quad (2)$$

The proof of (2) is given in Appendix A. These terms can attain $1 \mu\text{as}$ only for observations within about 3.3 angular radii from the Sun and can be neglected. Accordingly, we obtain a simplified formula for the transformation from \mathbf{k} to \mathbf{n} keeping only the post-Newtonian and “enhanced” post-post-Newtonian terms labelled as “pN” and “ ΔpN ” in (1):

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{d} P (1 + P x_1) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^2}{d^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(m^3), \quad (3)$$

$$P = -(1 + \gamma) \frac{m}{d^2} \left(\frac{x_0 - x_1}{R} + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1}{x_1} \right). \quad (4)$$

The simplified transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} given in Eq. (3) has now simpler structure than the former expression given in Eq. (92) in [1] or in Eq. (52) in [3]. Therefore, (3) is more efficient for the data reduction. Furthermore, the transformation in Eq. (3) has now similar structure as the simplified transformation \mathbf{n} to $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ given in Eq. (102) in [1] or in Eq. (62) in [3].

IV. A NEW ESTIMATION

The enhanced post-post-Newtonian term $|\boldsymbol{\omega}'_{\Delta pN}|$ in Eq. (1) is, for $\gamma = 1$, given by (cf. Eq. (89) in [1] or Eq. (48) in [3])

$$|\boldsymbol{\omega}'_{\Delta pN}| = 4m^2 \frac{|\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1)|}{(x_1 x_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_0)^2} \frac{R}{x_1}. \quad (5)$$

This term differs from the corresponding term $|\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\Delta pN}|$ defined in Eq. (89) in [1] or Eq. (48) in [3] only by a factor $\frac{R}{x_0 + x_1} \leq 1$. Therefore, we conclude that the estimates given in Eqs. (89) and (90) of [1] or in Eqs. (48) and (49) of [3] are also valid for $|\boldsymbol{\omega}'_{\Delta pN}|$, that means:

$$|\boldsymbol{\omega}'_{\Delta pN}| \leq 16 \frac{m^2}{d^3} \frac{R^2 x_1 x_0^2}{(x_1 + x_0)^4} \leq 16 \frac{m^2}{d^3} \frac{R x_1 x_0^2}{(x_1 + x_0)^3} \leq 16 \frac{m^2}{d^3} \frac{x_1 x_0^2}{(x_1 + x_0)^2} \leq 16 \frac{m^2}{d^2} \frac{x_1}{d}, \quad (6)$$

where the first expression given in (6) represents a new estimation. Another estimation can be given, namely (cf. Eq. (90) in [1] or Eq. (49) in [3])

$$|\omega'_{\Delta pN}| \leq \frac{64}{27} \frac{m^2}{d^2} \frac{R}{d}, \quad (7)$$

which cannot be related to the estimations in (6) and reflect different properties of $|\omega'_{\Delta pN}|$ as function of multiple variables.

V. SUMMARY

In Eq. (57) in [2] the complete transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} in post-post-Newtonian order has been given. In [3] we have shown that most of the terms can be neglected because they are of the order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^2}{d^2}\right)$ and can attain $1\mu\text{as}$ only for observations within about 3.3 angular radii from the Sun. These investigations have yielded a simplified transformation, given in Eqs. (92) and (93) in [1] or in Eqs. (52) and (53) in [3], and applicable for an efficient data reduction. In this report we have shown that Eq. (92) in [1] or Eq. (52) in [3] can further be simplified. The main result of this report is Eq. (3), where we give a new simplified transformation \mathbf{n} to \mathbf{k} which will improve the efficiency of Gaia data reduction. We have shown that the total sum of the neglected ppN-terms is smaller than $\frac{15}{4}\pi\frac{m^2}{d^2}$. Furthermore, estimations of the enhanced post-post-Newtonian term has been given in Eqs. (6) and (7).

[1] S.A. Klioner, S. Zschocke, *Numerical versus analytical accuracy of the formulas for light propagation*, Class. Quantum Grav. 27 (2001) 075015.

[2] Sergei A. Klioner, Sven Zschocke, GAIA-CA-TN-LO-SK-002-2, *Parametrized post-post-Newtonian analytical solution for light propagation*, available on arXiv:astro-ph/0902.4206.

[3] Sven Zschocke, Sergei A. Klioner, GAIA-CA-TN-LO-SZ-002-2, *Analytical solution for light propagation in Schwarzschild field having an accuracy of 1 micro-arcsecond*, available on arXiv:astro-ph/0904.3704.

[4] Sven Zschocke, Sergei A. Klioner, GAIA-CA-TN-LO-SZ-003-1, *Formal proof of some inequalities used in the analysis of the post-post-Newtonian light propagation theory*, available on arXiv:astro-ph/0907.4281.

Appendix A: Proof of inequality (2)

The sum of all ppN-terms in Eq. (1) can be written as follows (here $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \epsilon = 1$):

$$|\boldsymbol{\omega}'_{\text{ppN}}| = \frac{1}{4} \frac{m^2}{d^2} f'_{10}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where the function is defined by (cf. with f_{10} defined in Eq. (84) in [4])

$$f'_{10} = \left| \frac{z(16z - z \cos \Phi - 15) \sin \Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} + \frac{z(1 - 3z^2 + 2z^3 \cos \Phi) \sin^3 \Phi}{(1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi)^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{15z(\cos \Phi - z)\Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} + 16 \frac{z(1 - \cos \Phi)^2 (1 + z - \sqrt{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi})}{(1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi) \sin \Phi} \right|. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Here we have used the notation $\Phi = \delta(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1)$ and $z = \frac{x_0}{x_1}$. By means of the inequalities (note that (A4) improves the inequality given in Eq. (C1) in [4])

$$f_2 = 16 \frac{z(1 - \cos \Phi)^2 (1 + z - \sqrt{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi})}{(1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi) \sin \Phi} \leq 8 \sin \Phi, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$f_3 = \frac{|z(1 - 3z^2 + 2z^3 \cos \Phi)| \sin^3 \Phi}{(1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi)^2} \leq 3 \sin \Phi, \quad (\text{A4})$$

(proof of (A3) and (A4) are shown in Appendices B and C, respectively) we obtain

$$f'_{10} \leq \left| \frac{z(16z - z \cos \Phi - 15) \sin \Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} + \frac{15z(\cos \Phi - z)\Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} \right| + 11 \sin \Phi. \quad (\text{A5})$$

In [4] we have shown $z(16z - z \cos \Phi - 15) \sin \Phi + 15z(\cos \Phi - z)\Phi \leq 0$. Accordingly, due to $\sin \Phi \geq 0$, we obtain

$$f'_{10} \leq \left| \frac{z(16z - z \cos \Phi - 15) \sin \Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} + \frac{15z(\cos \Phi - z)\Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} - 15 \sin \Phi \right|, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where, for convenience, we have replaced the term $11 \sin \Phi$ by the larger term $15 \sin \Phi$. Furthermore, in [4] we have shown that

$$\left| \frac{z(16z - z \cos \Phi - 15) \sin \Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} + \frac{15z(\cos \Phi - z)\Phi}{1 + z^2 - 2z \cos \Phi} - 15 \sin \Phi \right| \leq 15\pi. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Thus, we obtain

$$f'_{10} \leq 15\pi. \quad (\text{A8})$$

The inequality (A8) in combination with (A1) shows the validity of inequality (2).

Appendix B: Proof of inequalities (A3)

In order to show (A3), we rewrite this inequality as follows:

$$\frac{z (1 - \cos \Phi)}{1 + z^2 - 2 z \cos \Phi} \frac{1 + z - \sqrt{1 + z^2 - 2 z \cos \Phi}}{1 + \cos \Phi} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

The inequality (B1) can be splitted into two factors satisfying the following inequalities:

$$\frac{z (1 - \cos \Phi)}{1 + z^2 - 2 z \cos \Phi} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\frac{1 + z - \sqrt{1 + z^2 - 2 z \cos \Phi}}{1 + \cos \Phi} \leq 1. \quad (\text{B3})$$

The inequality (B2) is obviously valid, because by multiplying (B2) with the denominator we obtain $-(1 - z)^2 \leq 0$. The inequality (B3) is also straightforward, because it can be rewritten as $z - \cos \Phi \leq \sqrt{1 + z^2 - 2 z \cos \Phi}$, which is obviously valid due to $z - \cos \Phi \leq |z - \cos \Phi|$. Thus we have shown the validity of inequality (B1) and (A3), respectively.

Appendix C: Proof of inequality (A4)

Using the notation $w = \cos \Phi$, the inequality (A4) can be written as follows:

$$f_3 = \frac{z |1 - 3z^2 + 2z^3 w| (1 - w^2)}{(1 + z^2 - 2wz)^2} \leq 3. \quad (\text{C1})$$

Using the inequality (proof see below)

$$|1 - 3z^2 + 2z^3 w| \leq 1 - 3wz^2 + 2z^3, \quad (\text{C2})$$

we obtain

$$f_3 \leq \frac{z (1 - 3wz^2 + 2z^3) (1 - w^2)}{(1 + z^2 - 2wz)^2} = h_1 + h_2 \leq 3. \quad (\text{C3})$$

In (C3) the relation $1 - 3wz^2 + 2z^3 = (1 + z^2 - 2wz) + (-3wz^2 + 2z^3 - z^2 + 2wz)$ has been used. The functions are defined by

$$h_1 = \frac{z (1 - w^2)}{1 + z^2 - 2wz} \leq \frac{2z (1 - w)}{1 + z^2 - 2wz} \leq 1, \quad (\text{C4})$$

$$h_2 = \frac{z^2 |-3wz + 2z^2 - z + 2w| (1 - w^2)}{(1 + z^2 - 2wz)^2} \leq 2. \quad (\text{C5})$$

The inequality (C4) has been shown in [4]. In order to show (C5), we factorize the function h_2 as follows:

$$h_2 = h_2^A h_2^B, \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$h_2^A = \frac{z^2 (1 - w^2)}{1 + z^2 - 2wz} \leq 1, \quad (\text{C7})$$

$$h_2^B = \frac{|-3wz + 2z^2 - z + 2w|}{1 + z^2 - 2wz} \leq 2. \quad (\text{C8})$$

Thus, by means of the inequalities (C2) and (C4) - (C8) we have shown the validity of inequality (C1) and (A4), respectively. We still have to proof of inequalities (C2), (C7) and (C8).

Let us consider (C2). First, we remark that $1 - 3wz^2 + 2z^3 \geq 0$ because of $1 - 3z^2 + 2z^3 \geq 0$. Then, squaring both sides of (C2) and subtracting from each other leads to

$$h_3 = 2z^3 + 2wz^3 - 3z^2 - 3wz^2 + 2 \geq 0. \quad (\text{C9})$$

The boundaries of h_3 are

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow -1} h_3 = 2 \geq 0, \quad (C10)$$

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow +1} h_3 = 2 (2z+1) (z-1)^2 \geq 0, \quad (C11)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} h_3 = 2 \geq 0, \quad (C12)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h_3 = 2 (1+w) \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z^3 \geq 0. \quad (C13)$$

The extremal conditions $h_{3,w} = 0$ and $h_{3,z} = 0$ lead to

$$z^2 (2z-3) = 0, \quad (C14)$$

$$z (1+w) (z-1) = 0. \quad (C15)$$

The common solutions of (C14) and (C15) are given by

$$P_1 (w = -1, z = 0), \quad (C16)$$

$$P_2 \left(w = -1, z = \frac{3}{2} \right). \quad (C17)$$

The numerical values of h_3 at these turning points are

$$h_3 (P_1) = 2 \geq 0, \quad (C18)$$

$$h_3 (P_2) = 2 \geq 0. \quad (C19)$$

Thus, we have shown (C9) and, therefore, the validity of inequality (C2).

Now we consider the inequality (C7). Multiplying both sides of this relation with the denominator leads to the inequality

$$h_4 = -z^2 w^2 - 1 + 2wz \leq 0. \quad (C20)$$

The boundaries of h_4 are

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow -1} h_4 = -(1+z)^2 \leq 0, \quad (C21)$$

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow +1} h_4 = -(1-z)^2 \leq 0, \quad (C22)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} h_4 = -1 \leq 0, \quad (C23)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h_4 = -w^2 \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z^2 \leq 0. \quad (C24)$$

The extremal conditions $h_{4,w} = 0$ and $h_{4,z} = 0$ lead to

$$z(1 - wz) = 0, \quad (C25)$$

$$w(1 - wz) = 0. \quad (C26)$$

The common solution of (C25) and (C26) is given by

$$P_3(w = 0, z = 0), \quad (C27)$$

and the numerical value of h_4 at this turning point is

$$h_4(P_3) = -1 \leq 0. \quad (C28)$$

Thus, we have shown (C20) and, therefore, the inequality (C7).

Now we consider the inequality (C8). Squaring both sides of (C8) and subtracting from each other leads to the inequality

$$h_5 = 4z^2 - z - 7wz + 2 + 2w \geq 0. \quad (C29)$$

The boundaries of h_5 are

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow -1} h_5 = 2z(3 + 2z) \geq 0, \quad (C30)$$

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow +1} h_5 = 4(z - 1)^2 \geq 0, \quad (C31)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} h_5 = 2(1 + w) \geq 0, \quad (C32)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h_5 = 4 \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z^2 \geq 0. \quad (C33)$$

The extremal conditions $h_{5,w} = 0$ and $h_{5,z} = 0$ lead to

$$-7z + 2 = 0, \quad (C34)$$

$$8z - 1 - 7w = 0. \quad (C35)$$

The common solution of (C34) and (C35) is given by

$$P_4 \left(w = \frac{9}{49}, z = \frac{2}{7} \right), \quad (C36)$$

and the numerical value of h_5 at this turning point is

$$h_5(P_4) = \frac{100}{49} \geq 0. \quad (C37)$$

Thus, we have shown (C29) and, therefore, the inequality (C8).